

Changing mindsets: A study of Queensland primary teachers and the visual literacy initiative

Jenny Kay McDougall

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

School of Education and Innovation Faculty of Education and Creative Arts Central Queensland University

July 2004

Abstract

"Changing mindsets" is about how teachers are engaging with 'visual literacy' — the practices involved in understanding and creating visual texts. The concept of 'visual literacy', like other 'new' literacies, has arisen in response to changing communication practices in developed, capitalist societies like Australia. This study addresses the ways in which teachers in primary schools are engaging with the visual literacy initiative in the context of the new arts syllabus (Years 1-10) in Queensland.

Using a broadly poststructural approach, this thesis explored the changing mindsets implied by this curriculum initiative from three perspectives. The concept of 'preservation of self' (Nias, 1987, 1993) was used to examine the personal dimension of change; the concept of 'trendy theory' (Goodson, 1988, 1994, 1997) addressed the social and political agendas that drive curriculum reform; while the concept of 'multimodality' (Kress, 2000a, 2000b, 2003a, 2003b) drew attention to the cultural values ascribed to different modes of communication. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 26 primary teachers from 11 government schools in a regional centre in 2002. The discourse analysis method was used to analyse the data resulting from these interviews.

The data showed that the official discourses featured in the new arts syllabus did not match the discourses used by practising teachers. Although there was some recognition of the significance of the visual mode, most teachers in this study were not aware of 'visual literacy'. Significantly, the agency exercised by teachers in curriculum reform was shaped not only by their personal identities, but also by the levels of support that they experienced in their working environments. These findings have crucial implications for policy-makers in implementing curriculum change, particularly in the context of the new arts syllabus.

<u>Contents</u>

| | | Page |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Abst | ract | i |
| Figu | res and tables | vi |
| Ackn | nowledgements | vii |
| Decla | aration | viii |
| Sele | cted publications and presentations related to this work | ix |
| Cha | pter 1: Introduction | |
| 1.1 | What is this thesis about? | 2 |
| 1.2 | Painting the background: New literacies for new times | 7 |
| 1.3 | Rationale for the study | 12 |
| 1.4 | Research questions | 15 |
| 1.5 | Overview of the thesis | 18 |
| 1.6 | A personal note | 21 |
| Cha | pter 2: The review of the literature | |
| 2.1 | Overview of the chapter | 25 |
| 22 | Part A. Visual literaev | |

| 2.2 | Part A: | A: Visual literacy | | |
|-----|-----------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------|----|
| | 2.2.1 | The origi | ns of visual literacy | 25 |
| | 2.2.2 | Visual lite | eracy mindsets | 26 |
| | | 2.2.2.1 | Structural mindset: How are images constructed? | 27 |
| | | 2.2.2.2 | Sociocultural mindset: Why are images constructed? | 28 |
| | | 2.2.2.3 | Cognitive mindset | 44 |
| | | 2.2.2.4 | Structural/sociocultural (holistic) mindset | 48 |
| | 2.2.3 | Visual lite | eracy mindsets: Summing up | 54 |
| | 2.2.4 | Visual lite | eracy and the new arts curriculum materials | 56 |
| | | 2.2.4.1 | History of the art syllabus | 56 |
| | | 2.2.4.2 | Representations of visual literacy and related | |
| | | | concepts in the new arts curriculum materials | 60 |
| | | 2.2.4.3 | Representations of the visual literacy mindsets in | |
| | | | the new arts curriculum materials | 63 |
| | 2.2.5 Vis | ual literacy | : Summing up | 71 |
| 2.3 | Part B: | Teacher | s and change | 72 |
| | 2.3.1 | Teachers | s in uncertain times | 72 |
| | 2.3.2 | Teachers | s and self-reflection | 77 |
| | 2.3.3 | Teachers | s and professional learning | 79 |
| | 2.3.4 | Teachers | s needing support | 81 |

| | | Page |
|-------|---------------------------------|------|
| 2.3.5 | Teachers and policy-making | 83 |
| 2.3.6 | Teachers and change: Summing up | 85 |
| 2.4 | Chapter 2: Summing up | 87 |

Chapter 3: The conceptual framework

| 3.1 | Overview | of the chapter | 91 |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|
| 3.2 | Working in a 'post' space: A poststructural lens | | |
| | 3.2.2 | Discourse | 93 |
| | 3.2.2 | Subjectivity | 95 |
| | 3.2.3 | Multiplicities | 96 |
| | 3.2.4 | Formations of knowledge | 96 |
| | 3.2.5 | Change | 97 |
| | 3.2.6 | Agency | 98 |
| 3.3 | The three key concepts | | |
| | 3.3.1 | Preservation of self | 99 |
| | 3.3.2 | Trendy theory | 105 |
| | 3.3.3 | Multimodality | 110 |
| 3.4 | Conceptu | al implications | 115 |
| 3.5 | Chapter 3 | : Summing up | 117 |

Chapter 4: The design of the research

| 4.1 | Overview of the chapter | | |
|-----|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----|
| 4.2 | What ca | n be known? | 121 |
| 4.3 | What is i | the role of the researcher? | 123 |
| 4.4 | How can | n we find things out? | 126 |
| | 4.4.1 | Gathering the data | 130 |
| | 4.4.2 | Analysing the data | 137 |
| 4.5 | How do | we know if our findings are trustworthy? | 141 |
| | 4.5.1 | Dependability | 141 |
| | 4.5.2 | Generalisability | 143 |
| | 4.5.3 | Consistency | 143 |
| 4.6 | Delimitat | tions and limitations | 146 |
| 4.7 | Ethical a | and political considerations | 152 |
| | 4.7.1 | Minimising risks | 152 |
| | 4.7.2 | Giving teachers a voice | 155 |
| | 4.7.3 | Interpreting data | 157 |
| 4.8 | Chapter | 4: Summing up | 159 |

Page

Chapter 5: Teachers and personal identity

| 5.1 | Overview of the data analysis chapters | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|
| 5.2 | Personal | identity | 165 |
| | 5.2.1 | Background | 168 |
| | 5.2.2 | Control | 183 |
| | 5.2.3 | Pedagogical priorities` | 189 |
| | 5.2.4 | Stress | 195 |
| | 5.2.5 | Support | 203 |
| 5.3 | Chapter & | 5: Summing up | 209 |

Chapter 6: Curriculum reform and changing

communication practices

| 6.1 | Overviev | Overview of the chapter | |
|-----|----------|---------------------------------------------|-----|
| 6.2 | Part A: | The theory/practice divide | 214 |
| | 6.2.1 | Tokenism | 216 |
| | 6.2.2 | Alienation | 232 |
| | 6.2.3 | Professional learning | 238 |
| | 6.2.4 | The theory/practice divide: Summing up | 244 |
| 6.3 | Part B: | Changing modes of communication | 245 |
| | 6.3.1 | Changing needs | 248 |
| | 6.3.2 | Transdisciplinary approaches | 250 |
| | 6.3.3 | Cultural preferences | 253 |
| | 6.3.4 | Changing modes of communication: Summing up | 262 |
| 6.4 | Chapter | 6: Summing up | 263 |

Chapter 7: Challenges and opportunities

| Overviev | 268 | |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Part A: | Crossing the theory/practice divide | 270 |
| 7.2.1 | Professional learning | 272 |
| 7.2.2 | Involvement | 278 |
| 7.2.3 | Support | 283 |
| 7.2.4 | Crossing the theory/practice divide: Summing up | 292 |
| Part B: | Taking up different modalities | 294 |
| 7.3.1 | Multiplicity of knowledge forms | 295 |
| 7.3.2 | Flexibility of teaching methods | 304 |
| 7.3.3 | Taking up different modalities: Summing up | 312 |
| Chapter | 7: Summing up | 313 |
| | Part A: 7.2.1 7.2.2 7.2.3 7.2.4 Part B: 7.3.1 7.3.2 7.3.3 | 7.2.1 Professional learning 7.2.2 Involvement 7.2.3 Support 7.2.4 Crossing the theory/practice divide: Summing up Part B: Taking up different modalities 7.3.1 Multiplicity of knowledge forms 7.3.2 Flexibility of teaching methods |

Page

Chapter 8: Conclusion

| 8.1 | | Overvie | 317 | |
|-----|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 8.2 | | More p | 322 | |
| 8.3 | | Findings and implications | | 324 |
| | | 8.3.1 | Demystifying 'trendy theory' | 325 |
| | | 8.3.2 | Need for support | 328 |
| | | 8.3.3 | Changing role of teacher | 329 |
| | | 8.3.3.1 | Role in professional learning | 329 |
| | | 8.3.3.2 | Role in the classroom | 330 |
| | | 8.3.3.3 | Leadership role | 332 |
| | | 8.3.4 | Changing role of the arts | 333 |
| | 8.4 Significance | | | 338 |
| | | | | |
| | Ref | ference | S | 344 |
| | Ар | pendice | 372 | |
| | Арр | oendix A | Λ | 373 |
| | | Certific | ation statement: Central Queensland University | 374 |
| | | Ethical | clearance: Education Queensland | 375 |
| | Арр | oendix B | 3 | 378 |
| | | Informa | ation sheet | 379 |
| | | Project | consent form | 380 |
| | Арр | oendix C | > | 381 |
| | | Focus of | 382 | |

Figures and tables

| | Page |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Figure 1.1 Three dimensions of change related to the visual literacy initiative | 4 |
| Figure 2.1 Visual literacy mindsets | 27 |
| Table 2.1 Documents relating to new arts curriculum materials produced by the Queensland School Curriculum Council | 59 |
| Table 2.2 Representations of visual literacy and related concepts in the new arts curriculum materials | 60 |
| Table 2.3 Representations of visual literacy mindsets in the new arts curriculum materials | 64 |
| Table 3.1 Key concepts, dimensions of change and poststructural themes | 99 |
| Table 3.2 The relationship between the research questions and the conceptual framework | 116 |
| Table 4.1 Research questions as methodological questions | 129 |
| Table 4.2 Profiles of participants | 149 |
| Table 5.1 Key discourses emerging from the data analysis | 163 |
| Table 5.2 Discourses associated with teacher identity | 167 |
| Table 5.3 Participants' backgrounds in multimedia and/or visual arts | 170 |
| Table 6.1 Discourses associated with the facets of the theory/practice divide | 215 |
| Table 6.2 Discourses associated with changing modalities | 247 |
| Table 7.1 Discourses associated with crossing the theory/practice divide | 272 |
| Table 7.2 Discourses associated with taking up different modalities | 295 |

Acknowledgments

This research project, like most of life's endeavours, represents a shared effort as well as an individual achievement and, as such, I would like to acknowledge the contribution of a number of people.

Firstly, I would like to say the biggest possible "thank you" to my supervisors. There are all kinds of horror stories circulating about styles of PhD supervision but it seems to me that I have indeed been one of the 'lucky ones', having had not one, but three, terrific supervisors along this research journey. Dr Leonie Rowan was my original supervisor before leaving CQU. Her role as principal supervisor was then taken over by Dr Patrick Danaher, ably assisted by Dr Bobby Harreveld as associate supervisor. I am extremely grateful for their guidance and encouragement. Each of them has been an inspiration to me in various ways. Above all, I wish to thank them for being so generous in giving of their time and energy, in some cases in spite of their own very difficult personal circumstances.

Special thanks must also go to Professor Chris Bigum for his encouragement and guidance during the early stages of this project. There are lots of other people — other postgrads, work colleagues, friends — whose support and companionship have helped to make this whole process much more enjoyable than would have been otherwise possible. To all those who shared the ups and downs of my (and sometimes their own) research journey, who made me laugh, or who made appropriately soothing noises in my times of angst — "thank you"!

I am also extremely grateful for the Equity Scholarship and the Completion Scholarship that I have been awarded by Central Queensland University. Being able to concentrate on my studies full time has been a wonderful opportunity for me.

Finally, I would like to thank my family, especially Rob, Elly and Grace. I simply could not have done this without their love and support.

Declaration

I declare that the main text of this thesis is entirely my own work and that such work has not been previously submitted as a requirement for the award of a degree at Central Queensland University or any other institution of higher education.

Jenny Kay McDougall 27 January 2005

Selected publications and presentations related to this work

- McDougall, J. (2002, December 3). Teaching the visual generation: Teachers' responses to art, media and the visual literacy challenge. Paper presented at the Australian Association for Research in Education (AARE) annual conference, Brisbane, Qld.
- McDougall, J. (2003, May 12). Primary teachers' responses to teaching visual literacy. Invited guest lecture for Professional Knowledge in Context, Faculty of Education and Creative Arts, Central Queensland University, Rockhampton, Qld.
- McDougall, J. (2003, September 3). New literacies and new challenges: How primary teachers in Queensland are coming to terms with the 'visual literacy' initiative. Paper presented at the Faculty of Education and Creative Arts postgraduate research conference, Rydges Capricorn International Resort, Yeppoon, Qld.
- McDougall, J. (2004). The 'people part' of curriculum change: How Queensland elementary teachers are coming to terms with the 'visual literacy' initiative in arts education. Proposal accepted by the American Educational Research Association annual meeting, San Diego, CA (April 12-16 2004).
- McDougall, J. (2004). Who says visual literacy is important?: An examination of the sociocultural dimensions of visual literacy as an educational objective.
 Proposal submitted to International Visual Literacy Association's annual Conference 2004.
- McDougall, J. (2004, May 12). Changing mindsets. Invited guest lecture for Professional Knowledge in Context, Faculty of Education and Creative Arts, Central Queensland University, Rockhampton, Qld.

McDougall, J. (2004). Changing paradigms of knowledge: An imaginative response to the concept of multimodality. Proposal accepted by the 2nd international conference on imagination and education in Vancouver, Canada (July 14-17 2004).

McDougall, J. (forthcoming). Research is not a dirty word: The risks involved in trying to overcome the teacher/theorist divide. In P. N. Coombes, M. J. M. Danaher & P. A. Danaher (Eds.), *Strategic uncertainties: Ethics, politics and risk in contemporary educational research*. Under review by Commonbound Publishing.